

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,684	02/06/2004	Paul A. Lotke	4323-P03363US0	7807
110	7590 01/23/2006		EXAM	INER
DANN, DO	RFMAN, HERRELL &	SNOW, BRUC	CE EDWARD	
SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6
---

***		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/773,684	LOTKE, PAUL A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bruce E. Snow	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 No</u>	ovember 2005				
'-	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	n.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-25 and 50-68</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>59-61 and 63-68</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25, 50-58, 62</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen		"D.,	(DTO 440)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/07/05 have been fully considered. The Examiner's position is explained in the grounds of rejection below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-25, 50-58 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 6, and 14 claim "without substantially extending onto the lateral and medial condyle" is indefinite as to the intended scope. Referring to applicants figure 6, it is the Examiner's position that applicant's prosthesis "extends" a substantial distance out on the condyle 22. The term "extends" describes distance and not specifically area of the articulating surface. When compared with the prior art of record, the scope of "substantially" is ambiguous. See MPEP 2173.05(b)(D) Relative Terminology.

Claim 50 uses the transitionary phrase "consisting essentially of" in combination with claim language "without substantially extending over an articular surface of a condyle", the scope of the claim is indefinite in comparison with the prior art. The claim language is not described in the specification.

Claim 62 depends from claim 63.

Art Unit: 3738

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 59-61 and 63-68 are allowed.

Claims 4, 8-13 and 23-24 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Art Unit: 3738

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 14-22, 25, 50-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Merchant (6,616,696).

Merchant teaches two embodiments of a femoral prosthesis; the first embodiment 186 including all limitations of claim 1:

a femoral prosthesis configured to cover a portion of the distal end of a femur, comprising:

a saddle-shaped trochlear groove portion comprising:

a central convexly-shaped grove extended toward a distal end of the femoral prosthesis;

a convexly-shaped medial portion extending upwardly away from a first side of the central groove; and a convexly-shaped lateral portion extending upwardly away from a second side of the central groove; and

an intercondylar notch portion intersecting the trochlear groove portion, comprising

a first wing extending distally and curving posteriorally from the distal end of the medial portion; and

a second wing extending distally and curving posteriorally from the distal end of the lateral portion and curving away from the first wing; and

a patellar prosthesis 112, 184, 190 configured to cover a posterior portion of a patella,

comprising a first surface configured to be attached to a patella, and a second surface configured to cooperate with the trochlear groove and intercondylar notch portions of the femoral prosthesis to facilitate sliding engagement between the femoral prosthesis and the patella prosthesis.

Regarding the "edge" limitations, the medial and lateral extensions inherently have an inner edge separated by a generally rectangular box region. The extensions do not extend over the intercondylar notch, the box region connecting the extensions does.

Art Unit: 3738

See attachment 1. Applicant is reminded of their use of the transistionary phrase "comprising" which can include other limitations.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 14-22, 25, 50-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saleh (3,816,855).

Saleh teaches a knee prosthesis for covering a portion of a patient's patella, and trochlear groove and intercondylar notch of the femur, comprising:

a femoral prosthesis comprising a body 13 having a posterior surface configured to cover a portion of the trochlear groove and an anterior surface forming a groove that is cooperable with the posterior surface of a patellar prosthesis; and

a medial extension 11 projecting away from a distal end of the body configured to extend along a medial edge of the intercondylar notch; and

a lateral extension 12 projecting away from a distal end of the body configured to extend along a lateral edge of the intercondylar notch.

However, Saleh fails to teach a patellar implant. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have utilized a patellar implant (112 etc.) of Merchant with the femoral prosthesis of Saleh wherein the articulating surface of the patella is damaged.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,684 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E. Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,684 Page 7

**Art Unit: 3738** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER